arXiv:1606.01418v1 [math.AG] 4 Jun 2016

ALPHA INVARIANT FOR GENERAL POLARIZATIONS OF DEL PEZZO SURFACES OF DEGREE 1

KYUSIK HONG AND JOONYEONG WON

Abstract. For an arbitrary ample divisor A in smooth del Pezzo surface S of degree 1, we verify the condition of the polarization (S, A) to be K-stable and it is a simple numerical condition.

All considered varieties are assumed to be algebraic and defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 throughout this article.

1. Introduction

The α-invariant that is introduced by Tian [11] gives a numerical criterion for the existence of Kahler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. The paper [11] proved that if X is a Fano variety of dimension n with canonical divisor K_X, the lower bound $\alpha(X, K_X) > \frac{n}{n+1}$ implies that X admits a Kähler-Einstein metric in $c_1(X) = c_1(K_X)$.

On the other hand, the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture states that the existence of a constant scalar curvature Kähler metric in $c_1(A)$ for a polarised manifold (X, A) is equivalent to the algebro-geometric notion of K-stability, a certain version of stability notion of Geometric Invariant Theory. This conjecture has recently been proven when the divisor A is anticanonical ([2], [3], [4], [12]). Odaka and Sano [8] have given a direct algebraic proof that $\alpha(X, -K_X) > \frac{n}{n+1}$ implies that (X, K_X) is K-stable. Then Dervan [6] generalizes this result, gives a sufficient condition for general polarisations of Fano varieties to be K-stable.

Theorem 1.1. *([6]) Let (X, A) be a polarised $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein log canonical variety of dimension n with canonical divisor K_X. And let $\nu(A) = \frac{-K_X \cdot A^{n-1}}{A^n}$. Suppose that*

(i) $\alpha(X, A) > \frac{n}{n+1}\nu(A)$ *and*

(ii) $K_X - \frac{n}{n+1}\nu(A)A$ *is nef.*

Then (X, A) is K-stable.

For anti-canonically polarised del Pezzo surfaces, the computation is completely done by Cheltsov [1]. The result [1] implies that general anticanonically polarized del pezzo surfaces of degree ≤ 3 are K-stable. Generalizing this, Dervan checked K-stability for certain polarizations (S, A_λ), where S is del Pezzo surface of degree 1 and $A_\lambda = -K_S + \lambda$(exceptional curve). The computation of α-invariant is valuable in its own sake, and motivated by Dervan's results, we study the α-invariant for all polarization of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1. By the computation, it turns out that condition (ii) is stronger than condition (i) in Theorem 1.1 for del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1. In other words, the present article proves

Main Theorem 1.2. Let (S, A) be a polarized del Pezzo surface of degree 1. Suppose that $K_S - \frac{2(-K_S \cdot A)}{3(A)^2}A$ is nef. Then (S, A) is K-stable.

The second author has been supported by the National Research Foundation in Korea (NRF-2014R1A1A2056432).

2. Preliminaries and Notations

2.1. **α-invariant.** For a polarized smooth Fano variety (X, A), its α-invariant can be defined as

$$\alpha(X,A) = \sup\left\{ c \in \mathbb{Q} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l}\text{the log pair } (X, cD) \text{ is log canonical for every}\\ \text{effective } \mathbb{Q}\text{-divisor } D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A.\end{array} \right\}.$$

For every effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor B on X, the number

$$\mathrm{lct}(X,B) = \sup\{c \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \text{the log pair } (X, cD) \text{ is log canonical}\}$$

is called the *log canonical threshold* of B. Note that

$$\alpha(X,A) = \inf\{\mathrm{lct}(X,B) |\ B \text{ is an effective } \mathbb{Q}\text{-divisor such that } B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A\}$$

Tian introduced α-invariant of smooth Fano varieties in [11] and proved

Theorem 2.1. *([11] Theorem 2.1]). Let X be a smooth Fano variety of dimension n. If $\alpha(X, -K_X) > \frac{n}{n+1}$, then X admits a Kähler-Einstein metric.*

We will make use of α-invariant for curves α_c to give a bound of the α-invariant.

$$\alpha_c(X,A) = \sup\left\{ c \in \mathbb{Q} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l}\text{the log pair } (X, cD) \text{ is log canonical along all curves}\\ \text{for every effective } \mathbb{Q}\text{-divisor } D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A.\end{array} \right\}.$$

If the variety is a surface, then the number $\alpha_c(X, A)$ is a reciprocal of the maximal multiplicity along a curve of a divisor B, where B is $\mathbb{Q}$-lineary equivalent to A.

The present article deals with a del Pezzo surface S of degree 1 and makes application of Theorem 1.1. So the slope $\nu(A)$ is always denoted by $\frac{-K_S \cdot A}{A^2}$

2.2. **del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1.** Let S be a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 1. It can be obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{P}^2$ at eight points in general position. Let $\pi : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be such a blow up and $E_1, \ldots, E_8$ be its exceptional curves. Denote the point $\pi(E_i)$ by P_i.

Let h be the divisor class in S corresponding to $\pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ and e_i be the class of the exceptional curves E_i, where $i = 1, \ldots, 8$. Since the classes $h, e_1, \ldots, e_8$ form an orthogonal basis of the Picard group of S, for a divisor A on S we may write $[A] = \beta h + \sum_{i=1}^{8} \beta_i e_i$, where β and β_i's are constants. It is well known that the divisor A is ample if and only if the intersection number $A \cdot C$ is positive for all -1-curves C and the curve C corresponds to one of following classes

- e_i ;
- $h - e_i - e_j$ for $i \neq j$;
- $2h - e_i - e_j - e_k - e_l - e_m$ for $i \neq j \neq k \neq l \neq m$;
- $[-K_S] + e_i - e_j$ for $i \neq j$;
- $[-2K_S] - (2h - e_i - e_j - e_k - e_l - e_m)$ for $i \neq j \neq k \neq l \neq m$;
- $[-2K_S] - (h - e_i - e_j)$ for $i \neq j$;
- $[-2K_S] - e_i$

In other words, relations attained by the intersection number define the ample cone of S. The Mori cone $\overline{\mathbb{NE}}(S)$ of the surface S is polyhedral. Moreover, it is generated by all the (-1)-curves on S.

From now on, the divisor A is always assumed to be ample, unless otherwise stated. The following method to express the divisor A in terms of $-K_S$ and (-1)-curves is adopted from [5], [9]. For the log pair (S, A), we define an invariant of (S, A) by

$$\mu := \inf\left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \;\middle|\; \text{the } \mathbb{Q}\text{-divisor } K_S + \lambda A \text{ is pseudo-effective} \right\}.$$

The invariant μ is always attained by a positive rational number. Let $\Delta_{(S,A)}$ be the smallest extremal face of the boundary of the Mori cone $\overline{\mathbb{NE}}(S)$ that contains $K_S + \mu A$.

Let $\phi\colon S \to Z$ be the contraction given by the face $\Delta_{(S,A)}$. Then either ϕ is a birational morphism or a conic bundle with $Z \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. In the former case $\Delta_{(S,A)}$ is generated by r disjoint (-1)-curves contracted by ϕ, where $r \leq 8$. In the later case, $\Delta_{(S,A)}$ is generated by the (-1)-curves in the eight reducible fibers of ϕ. Each reducible fiber consists of two (-1)-curves that intersect transversally at one point.

Suppose that ϕ is birational. Let $E_1, \dots, E_r$ be all (-1)-curves contained in $\Delta_{(S,A)}$. These are disjoint and generate the face $\Delta_{(S,A)}$. Therefore,

$$K_S + \mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i E_i$$

for some positive rational numbers $a_1, \dots, a_r$. We have $a_i < 1$ for every i because $A \cdot E_i > 0$. Vice versa, for every positive rational numbers $a_1, \dots, a_r < 1$, the divisor

$$-K_S + \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i E_i$$

is ample.

Suppose that ϕ is a conic bundle. Then there are a 0-curve B and seven disjoint (-1)-curves $E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5, E_6, E_7$, each of which is contained in a distinct fiber of ϕ, such that

$$K_S + \mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} aB + \sum_{i=1}^{7} a_i E_i$$

for some positive rational number a and non-negative rational numbers $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7 < 1$. In particular, these curves generate the face $\Delta_{(S,A)}$. Vice versa, for every positive rational number a and non-negative rational numbers $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7 < 1$ the divisor

$$-K_S + aB + \sum_{i=1}^{7} a_i E_i$$

is ample.

Let us describe the notations we will use in the rest of the present paper. Unless otherwise mentioned, these notations are fixed from now until the end of the paper.

- When the morphism ϕ is birational, $\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_S + \sum_{i=1}^{8} a_i E_i$. Fixing the order $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_8$, $s_A = \sum_{i=2}^{8} a_i$.
- When the morphism ϕ is conic bundle, $\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_S + aB + \sum_{i=1}^{7} a_i E_i$. Fixing the order $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_7$, $s_A = \sum_{i=2}^{7} a_i$.
- l_i is a -1-curve corresponding to a class $h - e_1 - e_i$.
- Q is a -1-curve corresponding to a class $2h - e_1 - e_5 - e_6 - e_7 - e_8$.
- C_i is a -1-curve corresponding to a class $3h - 2e_1 - \sum_{j=2}^{8} e_j + e_i$.
- Z is a -1-curve corresponding to a class $6h - 3e_1 - 2\sum_{j=2}^{8} e_j$.

3. Log canonical thresholds along curves

$$\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_S + \sum_{i=1}^{8} a_i E_i + aB,$$

where $a = 0$ if ϕ is birational and $a_8 = 0$ if ϕ is conic bundle.

Under the notations of Section 2, by choosing six exceptional curves $D_1, \dots, D_6$, where $\{D_1, \dots, D_6\} \subset \{E_1, \dots, E_6, E_7\}$, we obtain the birational morphism $S \to S_7$, where S_7 is a del Pezzo surface of degree 7. And there exist two disjoint -1-curves D_7 and D_8 in S_7, We have the birational morphism $\pi : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ defined by contraction of $D_1, \dots, D_8$. Let $d_1, \dots, d_6, d_7, d_8$

be divisor classes corresponding to $D_1, \dots, D_8$ respectively. If the morphism ϕ is birational, then simply we have that $\{D_1, \dots, D_8\} = \{E_1, \dots, E_8\}$.

If the morphism ϕ is conic bundle and factors through $\mathbb{F}_1$, then we have that $\{D_1, \dots, D_7\} = \{E_1, \dots, E_7\}$. And if the morphism ϕ is conic bundle and factors through $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, then we have that the divisor $D' = \{E_1, \dots, E_7\} \setminus \{D_1, \dots, D_6\}$ corresponds to a class $h - d_7 - d_8$.

Note that the morphism π depends on E_i's. We call D_i as π-exceptional curve if it belongs to the set that defines the morphism described previously.

For an effective divisor D on a surface X, define a value $\sigma(D)$ to be $\mathrm{Max}\{a_i | D = \sum a_i D_i$, where D_i is a irreducible curve$\}$.

Definition 3.1. On an algebraic surface X, we call the maximal multiplicity of divisor A as

$$\sup\{\sigma(D) \mid D \text{ is the effective } \mathbb{Q}\text{-divisor on } X \text{ and } D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A\}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that the maximal multiplicity α of μA is greater than one. Then either the maximal multiplicity is attained on an π-exceptional curve D_i or we have the following inequality*

$$\frac{2 + s_A + 2a_1 - a_7 - a_8 + 3a}{3} \geq \alpha.$$

Proof. Note that any effective divisor on S is generated by -1-curves of 240 types and $K_1, K_2 \in |-K_S|$ (cf. See [10]). Suppose that an effective divisor $\alpha C + \Gamma$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly equivalent to μA, where C is an irreducible curve and the support of Γ does not contain C. The curve C is linearly equivalent to $\sum b_i B_i$, where b_i is integer and B_i is -1-curve or K_i and $b_i = 1$ for all i by the maximality of α. . So we have that $\alpha(\sum B_i) + \Gamma \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mu A$.

Now consider the intersection

$$3 = \mu A \cdot h \geq \alpha \sum B_k \cdot h,$$

where $h = \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$. It means that $B_k \cdot h \leq 2$. Thus B_k is a π-exceptional curve or one of curves L_{ij} and C_{ijlmn} which correspond to classes $h - d_i - d_j$ and $2h - d_i - d_j - d_l - d_m - d_n$.

Now assume that $\alpha L_{ij} + \Omega \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mu A$, where the support of Ω does not contain L_{ij}. Let C_{ip} and D_j be a curve corresponding to a class $h - 2d_p - \sum_{k \neq p} d_k + d_i$ and d_j, where $p \notin \{i, j\}$. In the cases that ϕ is birational or ϕ is conic bundle which factor through $\mathbb{F}_1$, then the following inequality holds

$$2 + s_A + 2a_1 - a_7 - a_8 + 3a \geq 2 + s_A + 2a_p - a_i - a_j + 3a \geq (C_{ip} + D_j) \cdot \mu A \geq 3\alpha.$$

When the morphism ϕ is conic bundle and factors through $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, Assume that $\{D_1, \dots, D_6\} = \{E_1, \dots, E_6\}$. If $\{i, j\} \subset \{1, \dots, 6\}$, then we have the same inequality

$$2 + s_A + 2a_1 - a_7 - a_8 + 3a \geq 2 + s_A + 2a_p - a_i - a_j + 3a \geq (C_{ip} + D_j) \cdot \mu A \geq 3\alpha.$$

If $\{i, j\} \subset \{7, 8\}$, that is, $L_{78} = E_7$, then contract $E_2, \dots, E_6, E_7$, we have the morphism $S \to S_7$. And there is two disjoint -1-curves D_7, D_8 such that E_1 meets D_7, D_8. The contraction π' of $E_2, \dots, E_6, E_7, D_7, D_8$ defines the morphism $S \to \mathbb{P}^2$. Thus L_{78} is π'-exceptional. Now assume that $i = 6, j = 8$. then contract $E_1, \dots, E_5, E_7$, we have the morphism $S \to S_7$. And there is two disjoint -1-curves D_7, D_8 such that E_6 meets D_7, D_8. Moreover L_{68} is one of D_7, D_8. The contraction π'' of $E_1, \dots, E_5, E_7, D_7, D_8$ defines the morphism $S \to \mathbb{P}^2$. Thus L_{68} is π''-exceptional

$\alpha C_{ijlmn} + \Omega \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mu A$, where the support of Ω does not contain C_{ijlmn}. Let C_{ip} and D_j be a curve corresponding to a class $h - 2d_p - \sum_{k \neq p} d_k + d_i$ and d_j, where $p \notin \{i, j, l, m, n\}$. Then in any case the following inequality holds

$$2 + s_A + 2a_1 - a_7 - a_8 + 3a \geq 2 + s_A + 2a_p - a_i - a_j + 3a \geq (C_{ip} + D_j) \cdot \mu A \geq 3\alpha.$$

□

In all lemmas of the present section, it is easily verified that the maximal multiplicity is attained on a exceptional curve by Lemma 3.2. In other words, we can always find a divisor whose multiplicity along E_i is greater than or equal to the value of the bound in Lemma 3.2. Moreover, for all π-exceptional curves, the processes to find out maximal multiplicity along the curve are the same. Thus we will consider only maximal multiplicity along single exceptional curve E_1 which computes $\alpha_c(S, A)$ according to the order of a_i's.

3.1. **birational morphism case.**

$$K_S + \mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sum_{i=1}^{8} a_i E_i$$

for some positive rational numbers $a_1, \ldots, a_8$.

Lemma 3.3. *If $s_A \geq 4$, then $\alpha_c(S, \mu A) = \frac{1}{2+a_1}$.*

Proof. There exist an effective divisor

$$\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (1-a_2)l_2 + \cdots + (1-a_7)l_7 + (s_A - a_8 - 3)l_8 + (2+a_1)E_1 + (s_A - 4)E_8.$$

Therefore we have that $\alpha_c(S, \mu A) \leq \frac{1}{2+a_1}$.

Now for $\eta < \frac{1}{2+a_1}$, suppose that the pair $(S, \eta D)$ is not log canonical a along irreducible curve C, where the effective divisor D is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly equivalent to μA. Then we write $D = \alpha C + \Omega$, where the support of Ω does not contain C. Since the inequality $\frac{2+s_A+2a_1-a_7-a_8+3a}{3} \leq 2 + a_1$ holds, the curve C is one of E_i by Lemma 3.2.

Write $\mu A = \alpha E_i + \sum_{h \neq i} b_h E_h + \Omega$, where the support of Ω does not contain E_i and E_h's. Then we have

$$14 + 7a_i = (\sum_{p \neq i}^{8} L_p + \sum_{h \neq i}^{8} E_h) \cdot \mu A \geq 7\alpha,$$

where the -1-curve L_p corresponds to a class of $h - e_p - e_i$, so that $2 + a_i \geq \alpha$. It is a contradiction, thus $\alpha_c(S, \mu A) = \frac{1}{2+a_1}$.

□

For each E_i, considering the maximal multiplicity along E_i is similar and we easily have that the maximum is attained on E_1 among them. From now on we only consider the maximal multiplicity along E_1 of μA.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that $1 \leq s_A \leq 4$ and $1 + a_4 < a_2 + a_3$. If $\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3}s_A < a_2 + a_3$, then $\alpha_c(S, \mu A) = \frac{2}{2+2a_1+s_A-a_2-a_3}$.*

Proof. There is an effective divisor

$$\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (1-a_2)C_3 + (1-a_3)C_2 + \frac{3a_2+3a_3-s_A-2}{2}Q_4 + (a_2+a_3-a_4-1)l_4 + \sum_{i=5}^{8} \frac{s_A - 2a_i - a_2 - a_3}{2} l_i + \frac{2+2a_1+s_A-a_2-a_3}{2} E_1.$$

Therefore we have that $\alpha_c(S, \mu A) \leq \frac{2}{2+2a_1+s_A-a_2-a_3}$.

Now for $\eta < \frac{2}{2+2a_1+s_A-a_2-a_3}$, suppose that the pair $(S, \eta D)$ is not log canonical along a irreducible curve C, where the effective divisor D is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly equivalent to μA. Then we write $D = \alpha C + \Omega$, where the support of Ω does not contain C. Since the inequality $\frac{2+s_A+2a_1-a_7-a_8+3a}{3} \leq \frac{2+2a_1+s_A-a_2-a_3}{2}$ holds, the curve C is one of E_1 by Lemma 3.2.

If we write an effective divisor which is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly equivalent to μA as $D = \alpha E_1 + b_1 C_2 + b_2 C_3 + c_1 E_2 + c_2 E_3 + \Omega$, where the support of Ω does not contain E_1, E_2, E_3, C_2 and C_3, then the inequality

$$4 + 4a_1 + 2s_A - 2a_2 - 2a_3 = (C_2 + C_3 + E_2 + E_3) \cdot D \geq 4\alpha.$$

holds. So we obtain that $\alpha_c(S, \mu A) = \frac{2}{2+2a_1+s_A-a_2-a_3}$. □

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that* $1 \leq s_A \leq 4$, $1 + a_4 \geq a_2 + a_3$ *and* $1 + 2a_5 < a_2 + a_3 + a_4$. *If* $\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3}s_A < a_2 + a_3 + a_4$, *then* $\alpha_c(S, \mu A) = \frac{4}{3+4a_1+2s_A-a_2-a_3-a_4}$.

Proof. We can find an effective divisor

$$\begin{aligned}\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1 - a_3 - a_4 + a_2}{2} C_2 + \frac{1 - a_2 - a_4 + a_3}{2} C_3 + \frac{1 - a_2 - a_3 + a_4}{2} C_4 \\ + \frac{3a_2 + 3a_3 + 3a_4 - 2s_A - 1}{4} Q + \sum_{i=5}^{8} \frac{2s_A - a_2 - a_3 - a_4 - 4a_i - 1}{4} l_i \\ + \frac{3 + 4a_1 + 2s_A - a_2 - a_3 - a_4}{4} E_1.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\alpha_c(S, \mu A) \leq \frac{4}{3+4a_1+2s_A-a_2-a_3-a_4}$.

Now for $\eta < \frac{4}{3+4a_1+2s_A-a_2-a_3-a_4}$, suppose that the pair $(S, \eta D)$ is not log canonical along a irreducible curve C, where the effective divisor D is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly equivalent to μA. Then we write $D = \alpha C + \Omega$, where the support of Ω does not contain C. Since the inequality $\frac{2+s_A+2a_1-a_7-a_8+3a}{3} \leq \frac{3+4a_1+2s_A-a_2-a_3-a_4}{4}$ holds, the curve C is one of E_1 by Lemma 3.2.

If we write

$$D = \alpha E_1 + \sum_{i=5}^{8} a_i l_i + bQ + c_1 C_2 + c_2 C_3 + c_3 C_4 + \Omega,$$

where the support of Ω does not contain $E_1, l_5, l_6, l_7, l_8, Q, C_2, C_3$ and C_4. Then the inequality

$$9 + 12a_1 + 6s_A - 3a_2 - 3a_3 - 3a_4 = (-K_S + Q + l_5 + l_6 + l_7 + l_8 + C_2 + C_3 + C_4) \cdot D \geq 12\alpha$$

holds. But it is absurd. □

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that* $1 \leq s_A \leq 4$. *If the inequality* $1 + 2a_5 \geq a_2 + a_3 + a_4$ *holds or both* $1 + 2a_5 < a_2 + a_3 + a_4$ *and* $\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3}s_A \geq a_2 + a_3 + a_4$ *are satisfied, then* $\alpha_c(S, \mu A) = \frac{3}{2+3a_1+s_A}$.

Proof. Suppose that inequalities $1+a_4 \geq a_2+a_3$, $1+2a_5 < a_2+a_3+a_4$, and $\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{3}s_A \geq a_2+a_3+a_4$ hold. Then consider a divisor D such that

$$\begin{aligned}D = \frac{1 - a_3 - a_4 + a_2}{2} C_2 + \frac{1 - a_2 - a_4 + a_3}{2} C_3 + \frac{1 - a_2 - a_3 + a_4}{2} C_4 \\ + \sum_{i=5}^{8} \frac{a_2 + a_3 + a_4 - 2a_i - 1}{2} l_i.\end{aligned}$$

By our assumption, sum of coefficients of C_i's, $\frac{3-a_2-a_3-a_4}{2}$ is bigger than $\frac{4-s_A}{3}$, there exists numbers $b_2, \ldots, b_4, c_2, \ldots, c_8$ satisfying following conditions

- all coefficients of the curves C_i's in $D - b_2 C_2 - b_3 C_3 - b_4 C_4$ are nonnegative,
- $b_2 + b_3 + b_4 = \frac{3-a_2-a_3-a_4}{2} - \frac{4-s_A}{3}$,
- $\sum_{i=2}^{8} c_i = 6(b_2 + b_3 + b_4)$.

Then we have

$$\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D - b_2C_2 - b_3C_3 - b_4C_4 + \sum_{i=2}^{8} c_i l_i + \frac{2+3a_1+s_A}{3}E_1$$

and all the coefficients of the divisor are nonnegative.

If inequalities $1+3a_6 < a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5$ and $1+2a_5 \geq a_2+a_3+a_4$ hold, consider a divisor D such that

$$D = \frac{1-a_3-a_4-a_5+2a_2}{3}C_2 + \frac{1-a_2-a_4-a_5+2a_3}{3}C_3 + \frac{1-a_2-a_3-a_5+2a_4}{3}C_4 + \frac{1-a_2-a_3-a_4+2a_5}{3}C_5 + \sum_{i=6}^{8}\frac{a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5-3a_i-1}{3}l_i.$$

Since sum of coefficients of C_i's, $\frac{4-a_2-a_3-a_4-a_5}{3}$ is bigger than $\frac{4-s_A}{3}$, there exists numbers $b_2,\ldots,b_5,c_2,\ldots,c_8$ satisfying following conditions

- all coefficients of the curves C_i's in $D - b_2C_2 - b_3C_3 - b_4C_4 - b_5C_5$ are nonnegative,
- $b_2+b_3+b_4+b_5 = \frac{4-a_2-a_3-a_4-a_5}{3} - \frac{4-s_A}{3}$,
- $\sum_{i=2}^{8} c_i = 6(b_2+b_3+b_4+b_5)$.

Then we have

$$\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D - b_2C_2 - b_3C_3 - b_4C_4 - b_5C_5 + \sum_{i=2}^{8} c_i l_i + \frac{2+3a_1+s_A}{3}E_1$$

and all the coefficients of the divisor are nonnegative.

If inequalities $1+4a_7 < a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5+a_6$ and $1+3a_6 \geq a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5$ hold, then consider a divisor D

$$D = \frac{1-a_3-a_4-a_5-a_6+3a_2}{4}C_2 + \frac{1-a_2-a_4-a_5-a_6+3a_3}{4}C_3 + \frac{1-a_2-a_3-a_5-a_6+3a_4}{4}C_4 + \frac{1-a_3-a_4-a_5-a_6+3a_5}{4}C_5 + \frac{1-a_2-a_3-a_4-a_5+3a_6}{4}C_6 + \sum_{i=7}^{8}\frac{a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5+a_6-4a_i-1}{4}l_i.$$

The sum of coefficients of C_i's, $\frac{5-a_2-a_3-a_4-a_5-a_6}{4}$ is bigger than $\frac{4-s_A}{3}$ provided by $s_A \geq 1$. In same manner as a previous case, there is a coefficient $b_2,\ldots,b_6,c_2,\ldots,c_8$ satisfying

$$\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D - b_2C_2 - b_3C_3 - b_4C_4 - b_5C_5 - b_6C_6 + \sum_{i=2}^{8} c_i l_i + \frac{2+3a_1+s_A}{3}E_1.$$

If both inequalities $1+5a_8 < a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5+a_6+a_7$ and $1+4a_7 < a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5+a_6$ hold, then consider a divisor D

$$D = \frac{1-a_3-a_4-a_5-a_6-a_7+4a_2}{5}C_2 + \frac{1-a_2-a_4-a_5-a_6-a_7+4a_3}{5}C_3 + \frac{1-a_2-a_3-a_5-a_6-a_7+4a_4}{5}C_4 + \frac{1-a_3-a_4-a_5-a_6-a_7+4a_5}{5}C_5 + \frac{1-a_2-a_3-a_4-a_5-a_7+4a_6}{5}C_6 + \frac{1-a_2-a_3-a_4-a_5-a_6+4a_7}{5}C_7 + \frac{a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5+a_6+a_7-5a_8-1}{5}l_8.$$

In same manner as a previous case, there are coefficients $b_2, \dots, b_7, c_2 \dots, c_8$ satisfying

$$\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D - b_2C_2 - b_3C_3 - b_4C_4 - b_5C_5 - b_6C_6 - b_7C_7 + \sum_{i=2}^{8} c_i l_i + \frac{2+3a_1+s_A}{3}E_1.$$

In remaining cases, $1 + 5a_8 \geq a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + a_7$, consider an effective divisor $D = \sum_{i=2}^{8} \frac{1-s_A+6a_i}{6} C_i$. By choosing suitable choice of $b_2, \dots, b_8, c_2, \dots, c_8$. We have an effective divisor

$$\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D - \sum_{i=2}^{8} b_i C_i + \sum_{j=2}^{8} c_j l_j + \frac{2+3a_1+s_A}{3}E_1.$$

Therefore we obtain that $\alpha_c(S, \mu A) \leq \frac{3}{2+3a_1+s_A}$.

Now for $\eta < \frac{3}{2+3a_1+s_A}$, suppose that the pair $(S, \eta D)$ is not log canonical along a irreducible curve C, where the effective divisor D is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly equivalent to μA. Then we write $D = \alpha C + \Omega$, where the support of Ω does not contain C. Since the inequality $\frac{2+s_A+2a_1-a_7-a_8+3a}{3} \leq \frac{2+3a_1+s_A}{3}$ holds, the curve C is one of E_1 by Lemma 3.2.

Write

$$D = \alpha E_1 + a l_2 + b C_2 + c Z + \Omega,$$

where the support of Ω does not contain E_1, l_2, C_2 and Z. Then an inequality

$$4 + 6a_1 + 3s_A = (l_2 + C_2 + Z) \cdot D \geq 6\alpha$$

holds. But it is a contradiction so that $\alpha_c(S, \mu A) = \frac{3}{2+3a_1+s_A}$.

□

Lemma 3.7. *If $s_A \leq 1$, then $\alpha_c(S, \mu A) = \min\{\frac{2}{1+2a_1+s_A}, 1\}$.*

Proof. There exists an effective divisor

$$\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1-s_A}{2} Z + \sum_{i=2}^{8} a_i C_i + \frac{1+2a_1+s_A}{2} E_1.$$

Therefore we obtain that $\alpha_c(S, \mu A) \leq \min\{\frac{2}{1+2a_1+s_A}, 1\}$.

For $\eta < \frac{2}{1+2a_1+s_A}$, suppose that the pair $(S, \eta D)$ is not log canonical along a irreducible curve C, where the effective divisor D is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly equivalent to μA. Then we write $D = \alpha C + \Omega$, where the support of Ω does not contain C. Since the inequality $\frac{2+s_A+2a_1-a_7-a_8+3a}{3} \leq \frac{1+2a_1+s_A}{2}$ holds, the curve C is one of E_1 by Lemma 3.2.

If we write an effective divisor D as $D = \alpha E_1 + bZ + \sum_{i=2}^{8} c_i C_i + \Omega$, where the support of Ω does not contain $E_1, C_2, \dots, C_8$ and Z, then we have the following inequality

$$9 + 18a_1 + 9s_A = (-K_S + Z + \sum_{i=2}^{8} C_i) \cdot D \geq 18\alpha.$$

Thus $\alpha_c(S, \mu A) = \min\{\frac{2}{1+2a_1+s_A}, 1\}$.

□

Stating with previous lemmas together, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.8. *Let S be a smooth del Pezzo surface and A be a ample divisor of S. If the morphism ϕ is birational, $\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_S + \sum_{i=1}^{8} a_i E_i$, then*

- *When $s_A > 4$, $\alpha_c(S, A) = \frac{1}{2+a_1}$;*
- *When $4 \geq s_A > 1$, $\alpha_c(S, A) = \mathrm{Max}\{\frac{2}{2+2a_1+s_A-a_2-a_3}, \frac{4}{3+4a_1+2s_A-a_2-a_3-a_4}, \frac{3}{2+3a_1+s_A}\}$;*

- *When* $1 \geq s_A$, $\alpha_c(S,A) = \min\{\frac{2}{1+2a_1+s_A}, 1\}$.

3.2. **Conic bundle case.** Suppose that the contraction $\phi : S \to Z$ given by the face $\Delta_{(S,A)}$ is a conic bundle, i.e., $Z = \mathbb{P}^1$. The face $\Delta_{(S,A)}$ is spanned by an irreducible fiber B of ϕ and 8 disjoint (-1)-curves $E_1 \ldots, E_7$. We may then write

$$K_S + \mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} aB + \sum_{i=1}^{7} a_i E_i,$$

where a is a positive rational number and a_i's are non-negative rational numbers. And we can assume that $a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_7$. Let $\phi_1 : S \to R$ be the birational morphism obtained by contracting the disjoint (-1)-curves $E_1, \ldots, E_7$. And we can assume that $a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_7$.

Subcase 1: R is isomorphic to the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_1$.

In this subcase, we have an extra (-1)-curve E_8 which is disjoint from $E_1, \ldots, E_7$. Assume that $s_A \geq 4$. Since $\frac{2+s_A+2a_1-a_7-a_8+3a}{3} \leq 2 + a_1 + a$, by the similar way of Lemma 3.3, we obtain that the multiplicity of μA along E_1 has upper bound $2 + a_1 + a$, since if we write $\mu A = \alpha E_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{7} E_k + \Omega$, where the support of Ω does not contain $E_1, \ldots, E_7$, we have that

$$14 + 7a_1 + 7a = (\sum_{i=2}^{8} l_i + \sum_{j=2}^{8} E_j) \cdot \mu A \geq 7\alpha.$$

There exist an effective divisor

$$\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (1-a_2)l_2 + \cdots + (1-a_7)l_7 + (s_A - 3 + a)l_8 + (2 + a_1 + a)E_1 + (s_A - 4)E_8,$$

which is a sum of divisors $a(l_8 + E_8)$ and an effective divisor obtained in Lemma 3.3. Thus $\alpha_c(S,A) = \frac{1}{2+a_1+a}$.

When $1 \leq s_A \leq 4$. Likewise we obtain upper bounds, $\mathrm{mult}_{E_1}(\mu A) \leq \frac{2+2a_1+s_A-a_2-a_3+2a}{2}$, $\mathrm{mult}_{E_1}(\mu A) \leq \frac{3+4a_1+2s_A-a_2-a_3-a_4+4a}{4}$ and $\mathrm{mult}_{E_1}(\mu A) \leq \frac{2+3a_1+s_A+3a}{3}$ by the similar way of Lemma 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6, respectively. And effective divisors which is a sum of divisors $a(l_8 + E_8)$ and an effective divisors constructed in Lemma 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6 give upper bound of $\alpha_c(S, \mu A)$.

When $s_A \leq 1$, write $D = \alpha E_1 + bZ + cl_8 + \Omega$, where the support of Ω does not contain E_1, l_8 and Z, then we have

$$2 + 4a_1 + 2s_A + 4a = (Z + l_8) \cdot D \geq 4\alpha.$$

There is an effective divisor

$$\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1 - s_A}{2} Z + \sum_{i=2}^{8} a_i C_i + \frac{1 + 2a_1 + s_A + 2a}{2} E_1 + a l_8.$$

Finally we obtain the following statement.

Proposition 3.9. *Let S be a smooth del Pezzo surface and A be a ample divisor of S. If the morphism ϕ is a conic bundle, $\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_S + aB + \sum_{i=1}^{7} a_i E_i$ and it factors through the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_1$, then*

- *When* $s_A > 4$, $\alpha_c(S,A) = \frac{1}{2+a_1+a}$;
- *When* $4 \geq s_A > 1$,
  $\alpha_c(S,A) = \mathrm{Max}\{\frac{2}{2+2a_1+s_A-a_2-a_3+2a}, \frac{4}{3+4a_1+2s_A-a_2-a_3-a_4+4a}, \frac{3}{2+3a_1+s_A+3a}\}$;
- *When* $1 \geq s_A$, $\alpha_c(S,A) = \min\{\frac{2}{1+2a_1+s_A+2a}, 1\}$.

Subcase 2: R is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Use the notation $s_{Am} = s_A - a_m$ so that $s_{A7} \geq \cdots \geq s_{A1}$. The present subsection shows

Proposition 3.10. *Let S be a smooth del Pezzo surface and A be a ample divisor of S. If the morphism ϕ is a conic bundle, $\mu A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_S + aB + \sum_{i=1}^{7} a_i E_i$ and it factors through $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, then*

- *When $s_A > 4$, $\alpha_c(S, A) = \frac{1}{2+a_1+a}$;*
- *When $4 \geq s_A > 1$, $\alpha_c(S, A) = \mathrm{Max}\{\frac{2}{2+s_{A7}-a_2-a_3+2a}, \frac{4}{3+2s_{A7}-a_2-a_3-a_4+4a}, \frac{3}{2+s_{A7}+3a}\}$;*
- *When $1 \geq s_A$, $\alpha_c(S, A) = \min\{\frac{2}{1+s_{A7}+2a}, 1\}$.*

For each -1-curve E_m, we have another -1-curve E_m^a in the fiber of ϕ that contains E_i. In addition, there is a -1-curve E_m^b that intersects E_m^b but none of the other exceptional divisors of ϕ_1. The curve B is linearly equivalent to the divisor $E_m + E_m^a$. Then for each m use different labelling $\mathcal{L}_m$ as follows:

$$(a_1', \dots, a_8') = (a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_m, \dots, a_7, 0, 0),$$
$$(E_1', \dots, E_8') = (E_1, \dots, \hat{E}_m, \dots, E_7, E_m^a, E_m^b),$$

where the sequences $(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_m, \dots, a_7, 0, 0)$ and $(E_1, \dots, \hat{E}_m, \dots, E_7, E_m^a, E_m^b)$ are obtained by deleting a_m and E_m from sequences $(a_1, \dots, a_7, 0, 0)$ and $(E_1, \dots, E_7, E_m^a, E_m^b)$, respectively. By substituting $(a_1, \dots, a_8)$ and $(E_1, \dots, E_8)$ by $(a_1, \dots, a_8)$ and $(E_1', \dots, E_8')$ and applying same arguments in the case of *Subcase 1*, we obtain the same results as *Subcase 1* in terms of $(a_1', \dots, a_8')$. It yields to a required statement since $s_{A7} \geq \cdots \geq s_{A1}$.

4. Proof of Main theorem

Let $\mathrm{LCS}(S, D) \subset S$ be the subset such that $P \in \mathrm{LCS}(S, D)$ if and only if (S, D) is not log terminal at the point P. The set $\mathrm{LCS}(S, D)$ is called the locus of log canonical singularities.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $-(K_S + D)$ is ample. Then the set $\mathrm{LCS}(S, D)$ is connected.*

Proof. See Theorem 17.4 in [7]. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let S be a smooth del Pezzo surfaces of degree one and A be an ample divisor on S. Either the alpha invariant $\alpha(S, \mu A)$ is greater than $\frac{2}{3+a_1}$ or $\alpha(S, \mu A) = \alpha_c(S, \mu A)$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda < \frac{2}{3+a_1}$. Suppose that the pair $(S, \lambda\mu A)$ is not log canonical at the point p on S and log canonical along a punctured neighborhood of p. We will use notations in the section 3.

When ϕ is birational, $E_1, \dots, E_8$ are mutually disjoint. Suppose the point p does not lies on the curves $E_1, \dots, E_8$. Then the contraction of E_i's, $\pi : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is a locally isomorphism in a neighborhood of p and $\pi(\mu A) = -K_{\mathbb{P}^2}$. Take a general line L in $\mathbb{P}^2$, then $-K_{\mathbb{P}^2} - (\lambda\mu\pi(A) + L)$ is ample. But $\mathrm{LCS}(\mathbb{P}^2, \lambda\mu A + L)$ contains disjoint set $\pi(p) \cup L$. It is contradiction by Lemma 4.1.

Now suppose the point p lies on E_i. Let l_{ij} be a unique (-1)-curve meeting E_i and E_j. Choose -1-curves l_{ij}, l_{jk}, l_{ik} such that these curves do not pass through p. Then the curves $\{l_{ij}, l_{jk}, l_{ik}\} \cup (\{E_1, \dots, E_8\} \setminus \{E_i, E_j, E_k\})$ are mutually disjoint so that we obtain $\pi' : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ by contracting these curves. Then we have that $\mu\pi'(A) = -K_{\mathbb{P}^2} + a_i\pi'(E_i) + a_j\pi'(E_j) + a_k\pi'(E_k)$ and $-K_{\mathbb{P}^2} - (\lambda\mu\pi'(A) + (1 - \lambda a_j)\pi'(E_j) - \lambda a_k\pi'(E_k))$ is ample. But $\mathrm{LCS}(\mathbb{P}^2, \lambda\mu A + (1 - \lambda a_j)\pi'(E_j) - \lambda a_k\pi'(E_k))$ contains disjoint set $\pi'(p) \cup \pi'(E_j)$. It is contradiction by Lemma 4.1.

When ϕ is conic bundle which factors through $\mathbb{F}_1$, $\mu A = -K_S + \sum_{i=1}^{7} a_i E_i + aB$, the contraction of $E_1, \dots, E_7$ defines the morphism $S \to \mathbb{F}_1$ and there is a unique -1-curve E_8 in $\mathbb{F}_1$. The curves $E_1, \dots, E_8$ are mutually disjoint. Suppose the point p does not lie on the curves $E_1, \dots, E_8$. As the case that ϕ is birational, the contraction of E_i's, $\pi : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is a locally isomorphism in a neighborhood of p. The divisor $-K_{\mathbb{P}^2} - (\lambda\mu\pi(A) + (1 - \lambda a)\pi(B))$ is ample. But $\mathrm{LCS}(\mathbb{P}^2, \lambda\mu\pi(A) + (1 - \lambda a)\pi(B))$ contains disjoint set $\pi(p) \cup \pi(B)$. It is a contradiction to Lemma 4.1. If the point p lies on E_i, let $\pi' : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be a same contraction in the case that ϕ

is birational. Taking $B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} E_j + E_j'$, where E_j' is -1-curve which meets E_j and none of other E_i's. A divisor $-K_{\mathbb{P}^2} - (\lambda\mu\pi'(A) + (1-\lambda(a_j+a))\pi'(E_j) - \lambda a_k\pi'(E_k) - a\pi'(E_i'))$ is ample. But $\mathrm{LCS}(\mathbb{P}^2, \lambda\mu A + (1-\lambda a_j)\pi'(E_j) - \lambda a_k\pi'(E_k) - a\pi'(E_i'))$ contains disjoint set $\pi'(p) \cup \pi'(E_j)$. It is a contradiction to Lemma 4.1.

When ϕ is conic bundle which factors through $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, the contraction of $E_1, \dots, E_6$ defines the morphism $S \to S_7$. The del Pezzo surface S_7 has two disjoint -1-curves E_7^a, E_7^b which intersects E_7. The curves $E_1, \dots, E_6, E_7^a, E_7^b$ are mutually disjoint. For this disjoint set of curves, similar arguments as the cases of conic bundle which factors through $\mathbb{F}_1$ force to a contradiction.

□

Proof of Main Theorem 1.2. We can write $\mu A = -K_S + \sum_{i=1}^8 a_i E_i + aB$, where $a = 0$ if ϕ is birational and $a_8 = 0$ if ϕ is conic bundle.

Note that $\mu\nu(\mu A) = \nu(A)$ and $\mu\alpha(S, \mu A) = \alpha(S, A)$. Therefore $\alpha(S, A) > \frac{2}{3}\nu(A)$ if and only if $\alpha(S, \mu A) > \frac{2}{3}\nu(\mu A)$. Suppose that $-K_S - \frac{2}{3}\nu(A)A$ is nef. Then $-K_S - \frac{2}{3}\nu(\mu A)\mu A$ is also nef. Thus the intersection number $(-K_S - \frac{2}{3}\nu(\mu A)\mu A)\cdot(6h - 3e_1 - 2e_2 - 2e_3 - 2e_4 - 2e_5 - 2e_6 - 2e_7 - 2e_8)$ is non-negative. It means that

$$\frac{1}{3a_1 + 2s_A + 1 + 2a} \geq \frac{2}{3}\nu(\mu A).$$

By Lemma 4.2, either $\alpha(S, \mu A) > \frac{2}{3+a_1}$ or $\alpha(S, \mu A) = \alpha_c(S, \mu A)$. Suppose, the latter then, we can easily check that all the values of $\alpha_c(S, \mu A)$ in propositions 3.8, 3.9, 3.10 are greater than the value $\frac{1}{3a_1+2s_A+1+2a}$ according to the range of s_A. It means that $\alpha(S, \mu A) = \alpha_c(S, \mu A) > \frac{2}{3}\nu(\mu A)$. Suppose $\alpha(S, \mu A) \neq \alpha_c(S, \mu A)$, then $\alpha(S, \mu A) > \frac{2}{3+a_1}$. If $5a_1 + 4s_A + 4a > 1$, then $\frac{2}{3+a_1} > \frac{1}{3a_1+2s_A+1+2a} \geq \frac{2}{3}\nu(\mu A)$. On the other hand, assume that $2a_1 + a \leq 5a_1 + 4s_A + 4a \leq 1$. Use the fact that $0 \leq a_i \leq a_i(2 - a_i)$ for any a_i, and for positive numbers A, B and non-negative numbers C and D, if $A \leq B$ and $C \leq D$, $\frac{A}{B} \geq \frac{A+C}{B+D}$. Then it is easily verified that

$$\frac{2}{3+a_1} > \frac{2}{3}\frac{1+a_1+2a}{1+2a_1-a_1^2+2a} \geq \frac{2}{3}\frac{1+\sum_{i=1}^8 a_i + 2a}{1+\sum_{i=1}^8 a_i(2-a_i)+2a} = \frac{2}{3}\frac{-K_S\cdot(\mu A)}{(\mu A)^2} = \frac{2}{3}\nu(\mu A).$$

Thus in any case, $\alpha(S, \mu A) > \frac{2}{3}\nu(\mu A)$.

□

Conjecture 4.3. *If S be a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 1 which has no cuspidal curve in $|-K_S|$, then we have $\alpha(S, A) = \alpha_c(S, A)$ for any ample divisor A in S.*

References

[1] I. Cheltsov, *Log canonical thresholds of del Pezzo surfaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **11** (2008), 1118–1144.

[2] X.-X. Chen, S. Donaldson, and S. Sun. *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds, I: approximation of metrics with cone singularities.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (2015), no. 1, 183-197.

[3] X.-X. Chen, S. Donaldson, and S. Sun. *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds, II: limits with cone angle less than 2.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (2015), no. 1, 199-234.

[4] X.-X. Chen, S. Donaldson, and S. Sun. *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds, III: limits as cone angle approaches 2 and completion of the main proof.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (2015), no. 1, 235-278.

[5] I. Cheltsov, J. Park, J. Won, *Cylinders in del Pezzo surfaces*, preprint, arXiv: 1508.06375.

[6] R. Dervan, *Alpha invariants and K-stability for general polarisations of Fano varieties*, Int. Math. Res. Notices (2015) Vol. 16 7162–7189.

[7] J. Kollar et al., *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*, Asterisque 211 (1992).

[8] Y. Odaka and Y. Sano, *Alpha invariant and K-stability of Q-Fano varieties.* Adv. Math., 229(5):2818-2834, (2012).

[9] J. Park, J. Won, *Flexible affine cones over del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4*, to appear in Eur. J. Math.

[10] D. Testa, A. Vrilly-Alvarado and M. Velasco,*Cox rings of degree one del Pezzo surfaces.* Algebra Number Theory, 3 , no. 7, 729-761,(2009).

[11] G. Tian, *On Kähler-Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with* $c_1(M) > 0$, Invent. Math. vol. 89, (1987) 225-246.
[12] G. Tian, *K-Stability and Kähler-Einstein Metrics* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. vol. 68, Issue 7, pages 1085-1156.

Kyusik Hong
School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study
85 Hoegiro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 130-722, Korea
kszoo@kias.re.kr

Joonyeong Won
Algebraic Structure and its Applications Research Center, KAIST
335 Gwahangno, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-701, Korea
leonwon@kias.re.kr